

**From:** Michael <michael@theyfly.com>  
**Date:** April 6, 2004 9:33:42 AM PDT  
**To:** "ike", "Tim", SKEPTICMAG@aol.com, randi@randi.org, James Underdown <jim@cfiwest.org>, derek@iigwest.com, "alexi", Vaughn@cfiwest.org, Plejarans\_are\_real@yahoogroups.com  
**Subject:**

## Time's Up!

www.theyfly.com

The time has come to elevate the level at which this conversation and inquiry is being conducted. And, I am happy to affirm, that at least a couple of the skeptical parties have just done so. While we may have some perhaps minor disagreements, both Tim and Ike have established their serious and sincere intentions to arrive at the truth of the matter, some initial testiness on all of our parts notwithstanding.

### Sincerity and Clarity from Skeptics

While it's possible that I may yet find, to my dismay, that these gentlemen, or other parties, may still wish to have some additional hoop-jumping performed, which will be refused, I am proceeding with the acknowledgement that the overall sincerity and clarity of their recent communications entitles them to a certain respect from me, and other pro parties. With that in mind, I will contrast, for instance, Ike's probing questions regarding Meier's prophetically accurate information on Jupiter with the "official" comments of none other than that eminent "scientist", James Randi, who, on April 15, 2003, issued this brilliant statement:

"No one is "stumped" by the case. A child can see through the farce, though some adults have a problem with that. I'm always told about such things as the "prophetically accurate scientific and world event-related information that Meier published from a few weeks to more than 25 years before "official" discovery or occurrence. Oh yes, this includes the warning that he wrote and published in 1995 about the recent attack on Iraq." Hate to rain on your favorite delusion but, let's look at (a) the number of prophetic statement (sic) Meier has made, and (b) how many were correct. Bummer!"

### Bummer Indeed!

First, Meier did indeed publish that, and several other specific warnings in 1995, and they came true as predicted. In addition to being published in German in 1995, they were on the internet in English in 1998 and published in the book "And Yet They Fly!" in 2001...years before they occurred. That's called ironclad, copyrighted, published, irrefutable proof.

So, bummer indeed, Mr. Amazing, write that check, your account is way past due!

### Skeptics Followed Own Protocols, Proved Meier Correct

Now, I have made it perfectly clear in my rebuttal to the skeptics' Desperate Disclaimer that it is reasonably assumed that Randi, and CFI-West, have followed all of their own established, rigorous protocols for determining the authenticity of the Meier case and its evidence. No credible, reputable scientist would casually, and without due diligence, shoot from the hip and utter irresponsible, and inaccurate, comments on a matter as serious as this, to say nothing of his having to account to whomever has actually put up the (presumably existing) \$1,005,000 awards that Meier obviously is more than qualified to claim and receive. So here we have Mr. Randi's "expert" findings, claims and opinions on the Meier case and the prophetic information, which is clearly shown to be incorrect, ever more so by the resulting additional inquiries I was prompted to make by Ike's thoughtful and probing critiques of specific points in the Jupiter information of Meier's that I quoted.

While my own brief notes on Meier's Jupiter information don't include all of what he published in 1978, it can be easily confirmed that his published information precedes, conforms with, and is confirmed by, much of what is written on the pages for which Ike provided links, such as:

<http://www.nineplanets.org/jupiter.html>  
<http://www.solarviews.com/eng/jupiter.htm#rings>

### One More Prediction

Since I have already submitted several predictions of my own, which, if proved accurate by April 15, 2004, entitle me to claim the \$1,005,000 combined awards myself, I will make another prediction, i.e. that further diligent research into Meier's publication of what Randi accurately (for once!) notes that I refer to as the "prophetically accurate scientific and world event-related information" will bear out the overall accuracy and consistency of Meier's credibility, inexplicable save for the paranormal and/or extraterrestrial hypothesis.

### Invitation

I now invite Tim and Ike and any other people of fairness and good reasoning to not only encourage those in the ranks of the professional (and amateur) skeptics to raise their standards (and get some rehab for Randi and a few others still living in denial) but to also contribute to the efforts to get the mainstream media, and real, qualified scientists, to explore the Meier contacts as well, for the benefit of all mankind.

Michael Horn is the Authorized American Media Representative for the Billy Meier Contacts. He can be contacted through [www.theyfly.com](http://www.theyfly.com) or [michael@theyfly.com](mailto:michael@theyfly.com)